# Patterns of Deep-Sea Genetic Connectivity in the New Zealand Region: Implications for Management of Benthic Ecosystems

# Eleanor K. Bors<sup>1</sup>, Ashley A. Rowden<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth W. Maas<sup>2</sup>, Malcolm R. Clark<sup>2</sup>, Timothy M. Shank<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, United States of America, 2 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Greta Point, Wellington, New Zealand

# Abstract

Patterns of genetic connectivity are increasingly considered in the design of marine protected areas (MPAs) in both shallow and deep water. In the New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), deep-sea communities at upper bathyal depths (<2000 m) are vulnerable to anthropogenic disturbance from fishing and potential mining operations. Currently, patterns of genetic connectivity among deep-sea populations throughout New Zealand's EEZ are not well understood. Using the mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase I and 16S rRNA genes as genetic markers, this study aimed to elucidate patterns of genetic connectivity among populations of two common benthic invertebrates with contrasting life history strategies. Populations of the squat lobster Munida gracilis and the polychaete Hyalinoecia longibranchiata were sampled from continental slope, seamount, and offshore rise habitats on the Chatham Rise, Hikurangi Margin, and Challenger Plateau. For the polychaete, significant population structure was detected among distinct populations on the Chatham Rise, the Hikurangi Margin, and the Challenger Plateau. Significant genetic differences existed between slope and seamount populations on the Hikurangi Margin, as did evidence of population differentiation between the northeast and southwest parts of the Chatham Rise. In contrast, no significant population structure was detected across the study area for the squat lobster. Patterns of genetic connectivity in Hyalinoecia longibranchiata are likely influenced by a number of factors including current regimes that operate on varying spatial and temporal scales to produce potential barriers to dispersal. The striking difference in population structure between species can be attributed to differences in life history strategies. The results of this study are discussed in the context of existing conservation areas that are intended to manage anthropogenic threats to deep-sea benthic communities in the New Zealand region.

Citation: Bors EK, Rowden AA, Maas EW, Clark MR, Shank TM (2012) Patterns of Deep-Sea Genetic Connectivity in the New Zealand Region: Implications for Management of Benthic Ecosystems. PLoS ONE 7(11): e49474. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474

Editor: David Mark Bailey, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

Received May 13, 2012; Accepted October 11, 2012; Published November 21, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Bors et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was funded in part by a Fulbright Fellowship administered by Fulbright New Zealand and the U.S. Department of State, awarded in 2011 to EKB. Funding and support for research expedition was provided by Land Information New Zealand, New Zealand Ministry of Fisheries, NIWA, Census of Marine Life on Seamounts (CenSeam), and the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology. Other research funding was provided by the New Zealand Ministry of Science and Innovation project "Impacts of resource use on vulnerable deep-sea communities" (FRST contract CO1X0906), the National Science Foundation (OCE-0647612), and the Deep Ocean Exploration Institute (Fellowship support to TMS). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: tshank@whoi.edu

### Introduction

Current anthropogenic pressures on the marine environment, including the deep sea, are unprecedented [1,2,3,4]. As the human footprint in the oceans increases, international agreements like the UN Convention on Biodiversity have spurred the creation of several national biodiversity task forces that acknowledge the importance of marine protected areas (MPAs) – i.e., any area of the marine environment that has been reserved by laws or regulations to provide lasting protection to part or all of the natural or cultural resources therein (e.g., [5,6]). However, the creation of such areas is often a political, social, and scientific challenge (e.g., [7]).

Genetic connectivity has recently come to the fore as a major scientific component of sound MPA design in both shallow and deep-sea environments (e.g., [8,9,10,11]). Genetic connectivity, or "the dispersal, survival, and reproduction of migrants, so that they contribute to the local gene pool" [12], examines temporal and

spatial aspects of population genetics in order to infer the degree of genetic exchange among populations. The theoretical optimization of MPA design arises from understanding the sources and sinks of marine populations so that MPAs can protect sites that will export individuals to other areas, thus increasing the net benefit of the MPA [8]. Genetic connectivity research generally focuses on patterns of population structure within a geographic area and the factors that could cause such population structure to arise.

Ranking as the sixth largest globally, the New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) is one of the most topographically diverse seafloor environments in the world [13]. Benthic habitats are provided by a continental slope with canyons and cold seeps, while further off shore there are numerous plateau, rises, troughs, ridges, basins, seamounts (many with hydrothermal vents), as well as two ocean trenches [14]. The New Zealand EEZ supports rich biodiversity [15], economically important and well-established fisheries [16], and provides for other extractive industries,

including hydrocarbon and mineral mining [17,18]. Of the many species commercially targeted by New Zealand's fisheries, just ten deep-water species comprise 70% of the total catch volume [19], and bottom trawling occurs at depths down to 1500 m throughout the EEZ (e.g., [20]). The physical disturbance from trawl gear can have profound effects on deep-sea benthic communities, particularly on seamounts [21], where communities are thought to be more susceptible to disturbance from trawling because the fauna are less adapted to frequent natural disturbances and have life history traits that make them particularly vulnerable to fishing [22,23]. Bottom trawling on non-seamount habitat is extensive in the New Zealand EEZ, with areas of the seabed on the Chatham Rise having been subjected to tens of thousands of trawls between 1989-2005 (e.g., fishing statistical area, Figure 17 from [20]). While the impact of fishing on benthic communities at nonseamount habitats is generally unknown in the New Zealand EEZ, invertebrate by-catch studies have indicated a likely disturbance to soft sediment communities on the continental margin slope and certain areas of the Chatham Rise [24,25]. In addition to fishing operations, interest in mining has increased. Seafloor areas of the Chatham Rise contain significant deposits of phosphorite nodules [18] and several companies have been granted exploratory permits [26].

Currently, there is no legislation that allows for the creation of marine reserves (defined by current New Zealand law as MPAs in which only scientific uses are allowed) in the New Zealand EEZ (i.e., outside of the 12 nautical mile territorial seas), limiting the tools available for management of human activities in New Zealand's deep sea. There are areas closed to bottom trawling that include specific seamounts [27] and fishing industry-created Benthic Protection Areas (BPAs) [28]. But, activities such as mid-water trawling and mining are allowed at closed seamounts and in BPAs, a fact which has raised the concern that this specific type of closure does not fulfill biodiversity goals for New Zealand's EEZ. To date, only one published study has addressed the placement of the BPAs [29], despite their imminent 2013 review.

Most population genetic studies in the New Zealand region have been carried out in coastal waters (e.g., [30,31,32,33]), with relatively few studies of deep-water species. Smith et al. (2004) [34] examined connectivity of hydrothermal vent mussels between two seamounts in the Kermadec Arc, north of New Zealand. Allozyme loci revealed unexpected levels of heterogeneity between the seamount populations despite only 50 km of separation. The authors attribute the finding to localized current regimes promoting isolation of these populations. Using mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) data, Kojima et al. (2006) [35] demonstrated that the population of Lamellibrachia juni tubeworms at Brothers seamount in the Kermadec Volcanic Arc contains two distinct genetic groups, one of which was phylogenetically related to samples from the TOTO caldera in the Mariana Volcanic Arc. These studies show how complex patterns can exist over various spatial scales. Similarly, genetic investigation of the Internal Transcribed Spacer regions 1 and 2, COI and 16S rRNA genes in populations of the coral Desmophyllum dianthus from Chile, New Zealand, and Australia revealed greater variation between populations at different depths within a region than between populations at the same depth in two different regions [36]. Corals in the New Zealand mid-depth stratum were more similar to corals in a mid-depth stratum in Australia than to corals in shallower water in New Zealand, and geographic genetic structure was not observed within the New Zealand region by this study [36]. A study of Keratoisidinae bamboo corals in the Western Pacific using the INDEL#2 region of 16S rRNA and a non-coding mitochondrial marker also found no genetic structure in the New

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

Zealand region–which may present an accurate evolutionary pattern or may be the result of using evolutionarily conserved genetic markers that can be slow to change over time [37].

The present study aims to elucidate patterns of genetic connectivity among populations of benthic invertebrates found at three different deep-sea regions-a prominent rise, an adjacent slope margin, and a nearby plateau-and to consider the implications of the observed patterns for management decisions. The three study regions were the Chatham Rise, the Hikurangi Margin, and the Challenger Plateau (Figure 1). The Chatham Rise is a submerged feature that extends about 800 kilometers to the east of the South Island of New Zealand. There are numerous seamounts on the Rise, including the Graveyard Seamount cluster on the northern flank and the Andes Seamount cluster on the southeastern edge of the rise [38]. The Subtropical Front (STF), a convergence zone between the subtropical and subantarctic water masses, extends west to east along the rise at the confluence of the East Cape Current and the Southland Current [39]. To the northwest of the Chatham Rise is Cook Strait, which separates the North and South Islands of New Zealand. The Hikurangi Margin is at the eastern opening of Cook Strait. Small seamounts are found across the slope of the Margin, which is also incised with numerous canyons. The Challenger Plateau extends off the continental margin to the west side of the Cook Strait.

We focus on two benthic invertebrates-the squat lobster *Munida* gracilis [40] and the onuphid, or "quill," worm *Hyalinoecia* longibranchiata [41]. Both species are abundant and widely distributed in the New Zealand region, existing throughout our study area (K. Schnabel, pers comm.), [42]. These species have strongly contrasting inferred modes of reproduction and dispersal. There is likely a long pelagic larval duration via planktonic dispersal in *M. gracilis*, as is typical for many *Munida* species [43]. Development is non-planktotrophic in onuphids [44], with some *Hyalinoecia* species having incubated embryos [45,46]. These species are used here to represent commonly occurring benthic organisms with contrasting life history strategies.

Our study of the population genetics of these two species aimed to address fundamental questions regarding connectivity of the deep benthos among some of the prominent geomorphic features in the New Zealand EEZ: (1) Is there regional genetic structure across the study area and if so, can this structure be explained by factors known to affect genetic connectivity (e.g., currents, geographic distribution, topography, habitat availability)?; (2) Is there significant genetic structure within the three regions? For example, is there a difference among populations that are found in different habitats but are geographically close together? Is there significant genetic structure between populations on the north and south flanks of the Chatham Rise, potentially influenced by the presence of the Subtropical Front?; (3) Do the inferred life history strategies correlate with the observed patterns of genetic connectivity?; and (4) What implications do the patterns of genetic population connectivity between species and among sample sites, habitats, and regions have for Marine Protected Area design and the efficacy of the current Benthic Protection Areas?

#### Methods

### Sample Collection and Study Sites

Populations of *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* and *Munida gracilis* were collected during four research cruises onboard the R/V *Tangaroa*: TAN0705 (Chatham Rise, March 31<sup>st</sup> to April 29<sup>th</sup> 2007), TAN0707 (Challenger Plateau, May 28<sup>th</sup> to June 8<sup>th</sup> 2007), TAN0905 (Andes and Graveyard Seamounts, June 12<sup>th</sup> to June 30<sup>th</sup> 2009), and TAN1004 (Hikurangi Margin including the slope



**Figure 1. The location of the study area, including the North and South Islands of New Zealand (landmasses are in green), the Challenger Plateau, Hikurangi Margin, and Chatham Rise.** Red circles mark sites from which *Munida gracilis* were collected; blue triangles mark sites from which *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* were collected. Sites are labeled with their original site names. Samples were selected within a depth band of 400–800 m with *Munida gracilis* between 421 m and 634 m, and *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* between 478 m to 746 m. The depth of each site is listed in Table 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g001

Table '	1. Sites	and	collected	samples	included	in	this	stud	1.
		ana	concecea	Junpics	niciaaca			Juan	

Site Name	Cruise	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Depth	Species
9D11	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	43.6287 S	178.3664 W	18-Apr-07	421	MG
9D09	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	44.0682 S	178.3295 W	18-Apr-07	450	MG
9D28	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	43.7257 S	174.458 E	27-Apr-07	550	HL
6A06	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	42.9935 S	178.9992 E	24-Apr-07	530	MG
7A07	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	44.1358 S	174.8438 E	4-Apr-07	518	HL, MG
3CX2	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	42.9988 S	176.3483 W	16-Apr-07	658	HL
1B15	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	43.8085 S	178.1173 E	7-Apr-07	497	HL
6C63	TAN0705	Chatham Rise	43.1575 S	178.3097 W	17-Apr-07	478	HL
C102	TAN0707	Challenger Plateau	38.3872 S	168.7397 E	29-May-07	482	HL
C100	TAN0707	Challenger Plateau	39.5437 S	169.7145 E	4-Jun-07	634	MG
Iceberg Seamount	TAN0905	Andes Seamounts	44.1582 S	174.555 W	28-Jun-09	551	MG
Diamondhead Seamount	TAN0905	Andes Seamounts	44.1473 S	174.6900 W	26-Jun-09	520	MG
14a (slope)	TAN1004	Hikurangi Margin	41.5195 S	175.8068 E	19-Apr-10	746	HL
3B (seamount)	TAN1004	Hikurangi Margin	41.3368 S	176.182 E	21-Apr-10	730	HL

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t001

Site (region)	n	S	h	Hd	π
C100 (CP)	6	6	4	0.8	0.00418
7A07 (CR)	4	11	4	1.00000	0.01109
6A06 (CR)	8	12	7	0.96429	0.00808
9D11 (CR)	8	13	8	1.00000	0.00727
9D09 (CR)	8	12	8	1.00000	0.00693
Iceberg Seamount (CR)	10	19	9	0.97778	0.00837
Diamondhead Seamount (CR)	8	6	7	0.96429	0.00395
Total	52	47	36	0.96003	0.00691

Regions are designated as CP for Challenger Plateau and CR for Chatham Rise. *n* is the total number of individuals sampled for a site, S is the number of polymorphic nucleotide sites in the sequence. h is the number of haplotypes

polymorphic nucleotide sites in the sequence, h is the number of haplotypes represented at the site, Hd is haplotype diversity, and pi is nucleotide diversity. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t002

and seamounts near the eastern side of the Cook Strait, April 14<sup>th</sup> to April 29<sup>th</sup> 2010). All necessary permits were obtained for the described field studies. The specimens used in this study were taken from samples collected or obtained by New Zealand's National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) under a "Special Permit (421)" issued by the New Zealand Ministry of Fisheries for the taking of fish, aquatic life, and seaweed for the purposes of education and investigative research. Samples were collected using NIWA's epibenthic "seamount sled" (overall size 150 cm long, 50 cm high, and 100 cm wide; macroinvertebrates retained in a 30 mm stretched mesh size net that was covered in an anti-chaffing net of 100 mm stretched mesh size), a hyperbenthic "Brenke" sled, and a beam trawl. Upon collection, M. gracilis and H. longibranchiata specimens were preserved in ethanol, except for 29 H. longibranchiata individuals that were frozen upon collection. All specimens are stored in the NIWA Invertebrate Collection (NIC).

Due to limitations in the number of sampled individuals and resources available to this study, not all sample sites for which there were specimens in the NIC could be used in this study. In order to avoid confounding geographic site comparisons with depth variability, populations (the combined individual samples) from sites were selected from a restricted depth range (400–800 m). Sites did not straddle a previously identified depth disjunction in population structure between populations at <600 and >1000 m [36]. Individuals of *H. longibranchiata* were sampled from 478 m to 746 m and *M. gracilis* from 421 m to 634 m depth. To explore the role of geomorphological habitat types as a factor for structuring connectivity, we identified sites that spanned habitat types in the three regions (e.g., seamount and slope). When possible, sites with samples for both species were used.

The study sites (i.e., sampled populations) are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. One population for each species was identified on the Challenger Plateau: "C102" for *H. longibranchiata* and "C100" for *M. gracilis*. Two sites from the Hikurangi Margin were used for *H. longibranchiata*: "14a," a slope habitat site and "3B," a seamount site. On the Chatham Rise, five sites were used for *H. longibranchiata*: "7A07," "9D28," and "1B15" on the southwest part of the rise, and "6C63" and "3CX2" on the northeast part of the rise. Six sites on the Chatham Rise were identified for *M. gracilis*: "7A07" in the southwest, "6A06" centrally located on the northern flank of the rise, "9D11" and "9D09" located in the south-central region of the rise, and

Table	3. Intra-pop	oulation	16S di	versity	statistics	for	the	quil
worm,	Hyalinoecia	longibra	inchiat	а.				

Site (region)	n	S	h	Hd	π
C102 (CP)	12	6	7	0.90909	0.00294
3B (HM)	6	3	2	0.53333	0.00235
14a (HM)	10	4	3	0.51111	0.00187
9D28 (CR)	8	3	4	0.64286	0.00110
7A07 (CR)	8	5	5	0.85714	0.00210
1B15 (CR)	6	1	2	0.33333	0.00049
6C63 (CR	5	2	3	0.80000	0.00147
3XC2(CR)	6	1	2	0.33333	0.00049
Total	61	19	19	0.86011	0.00433

Regions are designated as CP for Challenger Plateau and CR for Chatham Rise. *n* is the total number of individuals sampled for a site, S is the number of polymorphic nucleotide sites in the sequence, h is the number of haplotypes represented at the site, Hd is haplotype diversity, and pi is nucleotide diversity. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t003

"Iceberg Seamount" and "Diamondhead Seamount" of the Andes Seamount cluster at the eastern end of the rise.

# DNA Extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction, and Sequencing

Mid-section muscular tissue from *H. longibranchiata* and leg tissue from *M. gracilis* were sub-sampled for genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction. To increase gDNA yield, many ethanol-preserved samples (n = 61) were soaked for 24 hours in a buffer containing 500 mM Tris-HCL (pH8), 20 mM EDTA, and 10 mM NaCl before extraction [47]. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) with a final elution into 25 to 200 µl of RNAase/DNAse free H<sub>2</sub>O (Invitrogen Ltd, New Zealand), depending on the condition of the original tissue sample. For samples with poor tissue quality due to disintegration in ethanol, elution occurred in smaller volumes of water in order to achieve a higher concentration of gDNA. Genomic DNA was quantified using Quant-iT PicoGreen DNA

**Table 4.** Intra-population mtCOI diversity statistics for the quill worm, Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.

Site (region)	n	s	h	Hd	π
C102 (CP)	9	6	3	0.55556	0.00413
3B (HM)	7	5	2	0.47619	0.00454
14a (HM)	8	12	4	0.78571	0.00988
9D28 (CR)	7	5	5	0.85714	0.00309
7A07 (CR)	8	5	5	0.85714	0.00354
1B15 (CR)	7	5	3	0.66667	0.00309
6C63 (CR)	6	4	3	0.73333	0.00331
3XC2 (CR)	6	2	3	0.73333	0.00178
Total	58	30	20	0.92801	0.01381

The regions are designated as CP for Challenger Plateau and CR for Chatham Rise.*n* is the total number of individuals sampled for a site, S is the number of polymorphic nucleotide sites in the sequence, h is the number of haplotypes represented at the site, Hd is haplotype diversity, and pi is the is nucleotide diversity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t004



**Figure 2. Distribution of** *COI* **haplotypes across the study area for** *Munida gracilis.* The map shows the location of the study sites with pie charts indicating the haplotype composition of the population from that site. Each color represents a haplotype with Red, Blue, and Yellow representing the three shared haplotypes that are found across the study area. Shades of grey and other muted colors represent unique haplotypes. Sample size for each site is indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g002

quantification kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogren Ltd, New Zealand), and working stocks of DNA (approximately 10 ng/ $\mu$ l) were stored at 4°C for up to six months prior to use.

For both target species, a fragment of the mitochondrial COI gene was amplified using universal primers [48]. The COI gene was amplified using iProof High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase Master Mix (Bio-Rad Ltd, Australia), using 1–5 µl of gDNA and primer concentrations of 0.2 mM each. A subset of reactions was trialed with HOT FIREPol Master Mix with 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Solis BioDyne) in an unsuccessful attempt to increase PCR yield. A "touch-up" PCR profile was used to eliminate non-specific binding. The profile used for COI consisted of denaturing at 98°C for 2 minutes followed by 10 cycles of denaturing at 98°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 49°C incrementally raising to 54°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds; followed by twenty cycles of denaturing at 98°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 54°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds; with a final extension step of 72°C for 7 minutes in a 2700 Applied Biosystems PCR machine. For some samples with low gDNA concentrations, an extra ten cycles (for a total of 30 cycles) were added in the final PCR profile.

Primers used for *H. longibranchiata 16S* gene amplification were from Zanol *et al.* (2010) [49]. The *16S* gene was amplified using a

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

"touch-down" method as described in Zanol *et al.* (2010) [49]. iProof High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase Master Mix (Bio-Rad Ltd, Australia) was used with 1–5  $\mu$ l of gDNA and primer concentrations of 0.2 mM each. A portion of *16S* was sequenced for a small subset of *M. gracilis* samples (n = 7); however, the portion of the genetic marker that we were able to sequence exhibited no variation among the sequenced individuals. The same was true for a small set of *Internal Transcribed Spacer Region* sequences (n = 12) generated for *H. longibranchiata*.

PCR amplification was assessed using gel electrophoresis and Quant-iT PicoGreen DNA quantification kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogren Ltd, New Zealand). PCR products of the correct size were purified using either a Zymogenetics PCR purification kit (Zymogentics D4013) or a Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) and eluted in DNA/RNAase-free water. Purified PCR reactions of approximately 10 ng were shipped to Macrogen, Inc. for sequencing.

### Genetic Analysis

DNA sequences were edited and aligned (using CLUSTAL-W) in Geneious Pro 5.3.4 [50]. Bi-directional sequences were used with the exception of one *H. longibranchiata* individual from 7A07 for which only one direction was usable for the *16S* sequence. Final



**Figure 3. Distribution of** *COI* **haplotypes across the study area for** *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.* The location of the study sites with pie charts indicate the haplotype composition of the population from that site. Each color represents a shared haplotype. White, black, and shades of grey represent unique haplotypes. Sample sizes for each site are indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g003

datasets consisted of 680 basepairs of 16S for H. longibranchiata, 524 basepairs of COI for H. longibranchiata, and 526 basepairs of COI for M. gracilis. DNA sequences have been deposited in GenBank (JX219896 - JX219956, H. longibranchiata 16S; JX219786 -JX219843, H. longibranchiata COI; and JX219844 - JX219895, M. gracilis COI). Sequences (and subsequent species identity) were compared to the Genbank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/) and alignments of COI were translated into amino acid sequences and checked for stop codons to assess whether or not the amplified fragments could be considered pseudo-genes. The genetic distances among individuals in each dataset were compared to genetic distances among species within the same families and/or genera to correlate genetic divergence and morphological species boundaries (i.e., to assess the possibility of cryptic species). Genetic distances were calculated (using Kimura 2) Parameter model in PAUP) among the COI sequences from seven Munida species: Munida spilota, Munida stia, Munida notata, Munida tyche, Munida zebra, Munida taenia, and Munida thoe [51]. Genetic distances were calculated (using Kimura 2 Parameter model in PAUP) among the COI sequences from seven species of onuphid worms: Diopatra cf. ornate, Diopatra dentate, Diopatra dentate, Hyalinoecia sp., Onuphis elegans, Onuphis cf. iridescens, and Paradiopatra quadricuspis [49].

Genetic diversity indices (the number of polymorphic sites in the sequence, the number of haplotypes represented at each site, the

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

haplotype diversity, and the nucleotide diversity) for each population and gene were calculated in DnaSP, version 5.10.01 [52]. In order to test for the significance of population genetic divergences, a measure of population pairwise divergence, or Fst, was calculated with 110 replicates in Arlequin, version 3.11 [53]. In addition to geographically mapping the distribution of haplotypes, we constructed haplotype networks in TCS 1.21 using default program settings [54] to identify potential biogeographic patterns among populations and habitats.

In order to assess population genetic structure among and within populations, Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was conducted in Arlequin version 3.11 by grouping sites into the three regions: Chatham Rise, Hikurangi Margin, and Challenger Plateau and running a standard AMOVA with default program settings. To address the question of spatial variation along the Chatham Rise, an AMOVA was conducted to separate the sites into two groups: a northeast subset that consisted of 6C63 and 3CX2 and a southwest subset that consisted of 7A07, 9D28 and 1B15. AMOVA tests were run only on *H. longibranchiata* given that initial assessments indicated there was no genetic structure for *M. gracilis.* 



**Figure 4. Distribution of** *165* **haplotypes across the study area for** *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.* The map shows the location of the study sites with pie charts indicating the haplotype composition of the populations from that site. Each color represents a shared haplotype. White, black, and shades of grey represent unique haplotypes. Sample size for each site is indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g004

## Results

### Genetic Diversity Indices

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

Prior to any population genetic analyses, the assessment and confirmation of phylogenetic species was conducted across all sequences for each of the target taxa. The genetic distances among COI sequences for various *Munida* species (listed in the Methods section) ranged from 8.2% to 15.4%, while the genetic distances of our COI dataset for *Munida gracilis* ranged from 0% to 1.9%. The genetic distances among COI sequences for various onuphid worms ranged from 4.6% to 28.5%. Genetic divergences in the COI dataset for *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* ranged from 0% to 2.9%. These results indicate that the individuals we used from each respective morphospecies are within the genetic divergence diagnostic of their respective species, implying that there are no cryptic species in our samples.

A total of 58 COI and 61 16S partial sequences from H. longibranchiata were obtained. There were eight H. longibranchiata specimens for which we did not obtain COI sequences, but did obtain 16S sequences. There were four H. longibranchiata specimens for which we did not obtain 16S sequences but we did obtain COI sequences. A total of 52 COI sequences from M. gracilis were obtained. All sequence reads were unambiguous except for one gene sequence for H. longibranchiata, which had a single undetermined base. The lack of complete overlap between sequenced

individuals for each gene prevented joint analysis of the two genetic datasets. The per-site number of sequences and genetic diversity indices for each gene in both species is presented in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4.

#### Geographic Distribution of Haplotypes

The squat lobster, *M. gracilis* (n = 52), had high haplotype diversity for the *COI* gene (Table 2). Of the 36 haplotypes, only three were shared and the remaining 33 were unique. Two of the three shared haplotypes were found across the Challenger Plateau and the Chatham Rise and the third was absent on the Challenger Plateau but present on the Chatham Rise (Figure 2).

The *COI* sequence dataset for *H. longibranchiata* (n = 58) consisted of 10 haplotypes shared by more than one individual and 10 unique haplotypes for a total of 20 haplotypes (Figure 3). The *16S* sequence dataset for *H. longibranchiata* (n = 61) consisted of nine haplotypes shared by more than one individual and 10 unique haplotypes, for a total of 19 haplotypes (Figure 4). All the haplotypes found at the Challenger Plateau site were unique to that population. There were two haplotypes only found at the Hikurangi Margin sites. Three shared haplotypes were only found on the Chatham Rise, spanning the length of the Rise.

7



Figure 5. TCS haplotype network for Munida gracilis, COI sequences. Each circle represents an observed haplotype and the circles are proportional to the number of individuals sampled with that haplotype. Each color indicates a sampling site and when a haplotype was present at multiple sites, a pie chart indicates the proportions with absolute numbers appearing in text in the pie chart. Each line connecting colored circles represents a single nucleotide sequence change. Lines with small black circles indicate interior haplotypes not found in the dataset (multiple nucleotide changes between sampled haplotypes). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g005

#### Haplotype Networks

The COI haplotype network for M. gracilis reflects the large number of haplotypes, many of which are separated by one or a few nucleotide changes (Figure 5). There is no clear ancestral haplotype, and no significant geographic pattern to the network. This is consistent with the high level of sequence diversity of the COI gene of M. gracilis.

The COI and 16S haplotype networks (Figure 6) for H. longibranchiata are consistent with the geographic structure indicated by the haplotype maps. There appears to be a central, ancestral 16S haplotype that is present across the Chatham Rise sites, radiating out to the Hikurangi Margin and on to the Challenger Plateau. The COI haplotype sequences were more diverse than the 16S sequences, consistent with common rates of mutation in these genes (e.g., [55]).

## **Population Structure**

Fst data indicated no genetic structure in the sampled M. gracilis populations. Pairwise population Fst values were all below 0.1 and none of the p values showed significant difference among the populations (Table 5). In the sampled H. longibranchiata populations, pairwise population Fst values for 16S indicated that the population at the Challenger Plateau site was significantly different (p<0.05) than all other populations (Fst >0.564), as were both populations from the Hikurangi Margin sites (Fst >0.484), which were also different from one another (Fst = 0.47989). Differences between populations within the Chatham Rise were small (<0.17647) and only the difference between the two northeast populations at 6C63 and 3CX2 was statistically significant (Table 6).





**Figure 6. TCS haplotype networks for** *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.* Part (A) shows results for *COI* sequences and part (B) shows results for *165* sequences. Each circle represents an observed haplotype and the circles are proportional to the number of individuals sampled with that haplotype. Each color indicates a sampling site and when a haplotype was present at multiple sites, a pie chart indicates the proportions with absolute numbers appearing in text in the pie chart. Each line connecting colored circles represents a single nucleotide sequence change. Lines with small black circles indicate interior haplotypes not found in the dataset (multiple nucleotide changes between sampled haplotypes). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g006

The *COI* results for *H. longibranchiata* revealed similar trends to the *16S* data, however, there were significant differences between some Chatham Rise populations (Fst >0.31089) (Table 7). Specifically, populations in the northeast were significantly different from those in the southwest and south central part of the Rise.



#### Analysis of Molecular Variance

*Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* AMOVA results (Table 8 and Table 9) were consistent with our other analyses. The AMOVA for the three regions revealed that there was higher variation between regions than within regions, indicating that there is significant genetic structure across the study area. The Hikurangi Margin

Table 5. Pairwise Fst values between populations of the squat lobster, Munida gracilis, using a fragment of the COI gene.

	C100	7A07	6A06	9D11	9D09	Diamondhead Seamount	lceberg Seamount
C100		-	-	-	-	-	-
7A07	0.04135		-	-	-	-	-
6A06	0.02383	0.03294		-	-	-	-
9D11	0.03655	0.04033	0.04692		-	-	-
9D09	-0.02666	0.02273	-0.0309	0.01299		-	-
Diamondhead Seamount	-0.04481	0.0536	-0.0064	-0.00304	-0.03896		-
Iceberg Seamount	-0.05198	-0.00004	-0.03325	-0.00142	-0.05346	-0.05184	

sites were shown to be statistically different from the Chatham Rise sites. The AMOVA tests for differences between groups of sites on the southwest and northeast of the Chatham Rise revealed a greater diversity in population structure within groups than between groups, indicating that this test does not show significant structure based on the northeast-southwest divide.

## Discussion

Our study is one of few that have examined genetic connectivity of deep-sea invertebrate populations in the New Zealand EEZ. Using mitochondrial *COI* and *16S* genes as genetic markers, we tested for genetic structure among populations of the squat lobster *Munida gracilis* and the quill worm *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata* at sites across three deep-sea regions near New Zealand: the Chatham Rise, Hikurangi Margin, and Challenger Plateau. The study aimed to address a number of questions related to the factors that determine genetic connectivity in the deep sea as well as inform the design and evaluation of MPAs in the deep sea. Our results are discussed below in relation to each of these study questions (paraphrased below).

# Is there Regional Genetic Structure Across the Study Area?

The population structure on a regional scale for *H. longibranchiata* provides evidence of little to no historic gene flow between the Challenger Plateau, the Hikurangi Margin, and the Chatham Rise. In contrast, the sampled *M. gracilis* population data demonstrated high haplotype diversity for *COI* and no population structure at the geographic scale examined in this study. A number of factors are known to affect genetic connectivity including large and small-scale current regimes, topography, settlement habitat, depth, dispersal strategies, adult mobility and reproductive success, etc. [56]. While it was not our goal to isolate a single factor as the cause of an observed population genetic structure, we can examine the consistency and potential interplay of each factor in relation to the results.

The observed large-scale genetic differences in H. longibranchiata populations between the three regions can be explained partly by geographic distribution and partly by currents. Large geographic distance between sites can limit connectivity, especially for species with low dispersal capability. The Hikurangi Eddy, located to the East of Cook Strait could create an isolated water mass around the study sites at the southern end of the Hikurangi Margin [57], and limit dispersal. There is one shared haplotype between the Rise and one of the sites on the margin, suggesting that historically there has been some ability of individuals to disperse between the two regions. Variation in the spatial extent of the Hikurangi Eddy could transport larvae or adults between the Margin and the Rise. The lack of any shared haplotypes between the Chatham Rise/ Hikurangi Margin and the Challenger Plateau is consistent with the Cook Strait functioning as a barrier to dispersal, rather than a conduit for transporting larvae or adults between the western and eastern side of New Zealand. Barnes (1985) [58] found that,

**Table 6.** Pairwise Fst Values between populations of the quill worm, *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata*, using a fragment of the 16S gene.

	C102	3B	14a	9D28	7A07	1B15	6C63	3CX2
C102		***	***	***	***	***	***	***
3B	0.57829		**	***	***	***	***	***
14a	0.564	0.47989		**	***	***	*	***
9D28	0.73021	0.7446	0.53759		-	-	-	-
7A07	0.69463	0.68352	0.484	0.00408		-	-	-
1B15	0.73454	0.768	0.56923	-0.01659	0.01118		-	-
6C63	0.70138	0.71154	0.50162	0.01202	0.01408	0.15141		*
3CX2	0.74672	0.78462	0.58732	-0.0084	-0.05466	0.00201	0.17647	

Above the diagonal indicates ranges of p-values. The "-" denotes a p>0.05. The "\*" denotes a p<0.05. The "\*\*" denotes a p<0.01. The "\*\*\*" denotes a p<0.01. The "\*\*\*\*" denotes a p<0.001.

**Table 7.** Pairiwse Fst values between populations of the quill worm, *Hyalinoecia longibranchiata*, using a fragment of the COI gene.

	C102	3B	14a	9D28	7A07	1B15	6C63	3XC2
C102		***	***	***	***	***	***	***
3B	0.76723		**	***	***	***	***	***
14a	0.66914	0.38421		***	**	***	***	***
9D28	0.84878	0.81818	0.58117		-	-	**	***
7A07	0.83886	0.80383	0.56703	-0.00474		-	-	**
1B15	0.85298	0.82278	0.59817	-0.0303	0.06264		***	**
6C63	0.84071	0.80985	0.54635	0.31089	0.10061	0.38353		-
3XC2	0.86515	0.84923	0.62322	0.53833	0.33767	0.5605	0.11111	

despite large tidal flow, a front exists in Cook Strait with up to a  $2^{\circ}C$  gradient that causes negligible net flow-at least near the sea surface-through the Strait.

In contrast to the quill worm, we found unstructured yet genetically diverse populations of the squat lobster M. gracilis throughout the study area. Based on the large proportion of unique haplotypes, we conclude that the genetic diversity of COI in the M. gracilis population has not been fully ascertained. Considering the high levels of diversity in the mitochondrial genes we sampled, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the M. gracilis population except to say that the presence of certain haplotypes across the study area indicates that there is likely a single population with high levels of mixing not impeded by geographic distance or current patterns.

As with all population genetic studies, the numbers of individuals, loci, and sites have to be considered in the interpretation of the data. The available sample sizes at various sites in the study area (which we have termed populations) are not considered large, nor are they consistent across sites. However, this is not atypical for deep-sea population genetic studies in which collecting large sample sizes yielding highly robust estimates of genetic diversity is considerably difficult given the inaccessibility and expense of obtaining these populations. Comparing haplotype diversity between sites with highly variable sample sizes could lead to inappropriate assumptions about spatial patterns of haplotype diversity, including the performance of unbiased estimators and rarefaction methods [59], with lower sample sizes likely underestimating levels of diversity. We calculated Fst from haplotypefrequencies and pairwise DNA sequence diversity. Haplotype frequency-based statistics are more sensitive for small sample sizes, while the sequence-based statistic can be considered a more sensitive method for detecting population structure in highly polymorphic loci. Our goal was not to examine the effect of small or variable sample sizes on genetic estimates in genetically diverse datasets (as in [59]), and we caution the over-interpretation of our results. Given the high COI haplotype diversity of in M. gracilis, it is likely that more individuals would provide more informative results. Despite the limited H. longibranchiata data set, the data provide insight into the population structure of this species, and the results are supported by both genetic markers (16S and COI). From our initial genetic survey and for future studies of these species, the COI gene can be considered a useful marker for resolving genetic structure in H. longibranchiata, and somewhat less in M. gracilis.

#### Is there Genetic Structure Within the Three Regions?

In addition to the larger scale patterns discussed above, population structure for *H. longibranchiata* was observed between the seamount and slope sites on the Hikurangi Margin and potential differentiation was detected between populations on the northeast and southwest sites on the Chatham Rise. No genetic structure between sites of varying habitat types–specifically between seamount and slope–was observed for *M. gracilis* in any region.

Test	Source of variation	df	ss	Var. comp.	% V	P value
Three Regions	among regional groups	2	49.641	1.24887 Va	63.54	0.00782±0.00280
	among populations within regional groups	5	7.231	0.12367 Vb	6.29	$0.00000 \!\pm\! 0.00000$
	within populations	54	32.015	0.59288 Vc	30.17	$0.00000 \!\pm\! 0.00000$
Margin v. Rise	among regional groups	1	18.894	0.79467 Va	55.88	0.04399±0.00714
	among populations within regional groups	5	7.231	0.13876 Vb	9.76	0.00293±0.00164
	within populations	43	21.015	0.48873 Vc	34.37	$0.00000 \!\pm\! 0.00000$
NE v. SW CR	among regional groups	1	0.195	-0.02046 Va	-5.27	$1.00000 \pm 0.00000$
	among populations within regional groups	3	1.548	0.01853 Vb	4.77	0.10459±0.00793
	within populations	29	11.315	0.39019 Vc	100.5	0.24829±0.01653

Table 8. 165 AMOVA results for Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t008

 Table 9. COI AMOVA results for Hyalinoecia longibranchiata.

Test	Source of variation	df	SS	Var. comp.	% V	P value
Three regions	among regional groups	2	127.433	3.58675 Va	69.07	0.00978±0.00294
	among populations within regional groups	5	21.941	0.47015 Vb	9.05	$0.00000 {\pm} 0.00000$
	within populations	50	56.815	1.13631 Vc	21.88	$0.00000 {\pm} 0.00000$
Margin v. Rise	among regional groups	1	64.726	2.88919 Va	64.14	0.04106±0.00536
	among populations within regional groups	5	21.941	0.46869 Vb	10.41	$0.00000 {\pm} 0.00000$
	within populations	42	48.149	1.14640 Vc	25.45	$0.00000 {\pm} 0.00000$
NE v. SW CR	among regional groups	1	7.899	0.44418 Va	35.09	0.07722±0.01012
	among populations within regional groups	3	3.043	0.03277 Vb	2.59	0.21799±0.01260
	within populations	29	22.881	0.78900 Vc	62.32	$0.00000 \pm 0.00000$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.t009

Addressing questions of genetic connectivity is especially complex in the deep sea given that suitable habitats can be patchy over large spatial scales (hundreds to thousands of km). For example, several thousand kilometers may separate hydrothermal vent fields or seamounts and yet gene flow may occur between the geographically distant sites of the same habitat type (e.g., [60,61,62,63]). The opposite can also be true where small distances between patches of the same habitat do not necessarily



**Figure 7. Map of the study area showing genetically distinct populations (colored circles) of the worm** *H. longibranchiata* **relative to the position of Benthic Protection Areas (blue) and seamount closures (light blue), and local currents.** Populations on the Challenger Plateau, Hikurangi Margin and Chatham Rise are green, orange, and red, respectively, with different shades of the latter two colors representing within region differences in genetic population structure. Blue rectangles represent Benthic Protection Areas and Seamount Closures (in light blue). The star marks two Seamount Closures too small to be visible on the map. The approximate position of the Southland Front (SF), the Sub-Tropical Front (STF), the Hikurangi Eddy (HE), and Wairarapa Eddy (WE) are shown with grey bands and arrows. The location of the STF is based on Figure 1 of Hayward *et al.* (2008) [76].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049474.g007

translate into genetic connectivity among populations if there are physical or biological barriers to dispersal [60].

An added layer of complexity to deep-sea connectivity is the potential for inter-habitat connectivity when different habitats may be found in a small geographic area. For example, in the Norfolk Ridge seamount system, populations on the seamounts have been shown to be genetically connected to populations on the island slope [64]. Conversely, populations at different habitats may not be well connected when the physical or biological attributes of one habitat serve to isolate it from other suitable habitats (e.g., the presence of local isolating hydrographic features as seen in some seamount systems [65]).

Because of the constrained sample availability, the sites from which we were able to obtain samples and the sample sizes did not provide a robust enough dataset to fully understand the extent of inter-habitat genetic connectivity. Nevertheless, our data provide some interesting indications about inter-habitat connectivity. While populations of H. longibrachiata at the Hikurangi Margin sites were significantly different from the Challenger Plateau sites and the Chatham Rise sites, they were also significantly different from each other. These sites-one a seamount, the other a slopeare only  $\sim$ 38 km apart, but are separated by a small canyon. It is possible that there is a local current regime on this margin that limits the connectivity of these two populations or perhaps some habitat preference that results in the observed difference in genetic structure. The seamount in question may likely be considered too small for localized isolating hydrographic features. So alternatively it could be the predominance of down slope currents associated with canyons rather than along slope currents on this margin that are responsible for the limited connectivity between the populations of quill worms at the seamount and slope sites. For M. gracilis, the two seamount sites in the Andes Seamount cluster-Diamondhead Seamount and Iceberg Seamount-shared haplotypes with populations at sites elsewhere on the Chatham Rise, indicating that for this species in the New Zealand region, habitat type may not play a strong role in genetic connectivity.

Results suggest that there is variation in the level of connectivity across the Rise. Other studies have found marked differences in benthic community structure between the northern and southern flanks of the rise, which have been attributed to the different environmental and biological conditions imposed by the location of the STF [66,67,68,69]. It is possible that the currents that maintain the STF present a significant barrier to dispersal of individuals among populations of the same species between northern and southern sites. While the location of our study sites for H. longibranchiata did not allow us to separate strict north-south effects from possible east-west effects, we were able to test for northeast to southwest variation in the genetic make-up of populations. COI, but not 16S, data provide some support for this hypothesis for H. longibrachiata because populations at sites on the northeast of the rise were sufficiently different from those on the southwest of the rise.

Depth has been shown to play a major role in connectivity within and between deep-sea ocean basins and slopes (e.g., [70]) and seamounts (e.g., [60]). It is worth remembering here that our samples were explicitly chosen to fall within a small range of depths; a method of sample selection that could have resulted in a reduced ability to detect whether there was an effect of the STF on population structure on the rise. Nodder *et al.* (2003) [67] found that the most notable differences in benthic communities between northern and southern sites were evident at greater depths (e.g., sites at 2300 meters). So it is possible that populations located deeper than our study sites (below 746 m) could be less well connected across the rise than our results suggest. It is also possible

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

that shallower populations on the crest of the rise (approximately 200 m) may be well connected with one another within the core of the STF, yet be poorly connected to populations at greater depths.

# Do the Inferred Life History Strategies Correlate with the Observed Patterns of Genetic Connectivity?

The results of our study indicate that the genetic connectivity patterns of the two study species are different. Differences in life history strategy and inferred pelagic larval duration likely explain the difference between observed patterns in the two species. Larval dispersal and adult mobility contribute to making the squat lobster a better potential disperser than the quill worm and correlate directly with the differences in inferred patterns of connectivity. The relationship between life history and population structure across seamounts is well documented in Samadi *et al.* (2006) [64], in which the authors found that species with broad dispersal potential had limited to no population structure while the other species with limited dispersal potential had clear population structure.

# What Implications do the Patterns of Genetic Population Connectivity have for MPA Design and the Efficacy of the Current BPAs?

In 2007, a fishing industry-driven initiative resulted in the creation of seventeen areas within the New Zealand EEZ that were designated Benthic Protection Areas (BPAs). These areas comprise roughly 30% of the EEZ and are closed to bottom trawling, but not to other uses such as mining. Still, they are considered by some [28] to fulfill New Zealand's dedication to protecting at least 10% of its marine environment [5]. The selection criteria for the BPAs included size, low fishing levels, geometrically simple boundaries, and representativeness of the Marine Environment Classification [28]. The population connectivity of benthic organisms was not directly considered in the design of the BPAs.

A deep-water MPA process is scheduled to commence in 2013 [71], coinciding with a review of the BPAs [28]. To facilitate an effective review of BPAs, the closed seamounts, and the future deep-water protected area design process, the "best available" scientific information concerning the habitats and faunal communities need to be considered, as well as input from "offshore experts" [71]. To date, only a single study has challenged the efficacy of BPA design by demonstrating that BPAs located at alternate sites could be more effective at protecting biodiversity and less costly to the fishing industry [29]. The results of our study provide additional information that can be used to evaluate the placement of BPAs and future deep-water MPAs.

The difference in genetic population structure between the squat lobster and the quill worm confirms that, in terms of connectivity, MPA design should consider the implications of protecting assemblages of species with different life history strategies [72]. The findings of our study for common species with high levels of dispersal (like *M. gracilis*), indicate that populations in different closed areas have historically been well connected, and one can reasonably presume are currently connected. However, for common species with limited dispersal capabilities (like *H. longibranchiata*), our study findings provide a framework with which one can analyze the efficacy of future MPA design. At the broadest level, our main finding is that a species with direct development has pronounced population structure across the Challenger Plateau, Hikurangi Margin, and the Chatham Rise (Figure 7). If the maintenance of genetically distinct

populations is considered integral to the goal of protecting biodiversity, then large protected areas that possess isolated populations will help to further that goal. Presently, there are BPAs on both the Chatham Rise and the Challenger Plateau but there are no closed areas on the Hikurangi Margin. Other large areas in the study region may also possess populations similarly genetically isolated by current regimes such as large eddy systems, that could also be considered in the future design of deep-water MPAs.

Our results suggest that on smaller spatial scales within regions, local topography and current regimes may have a profound impact on gene flow, leading to the differentiation of populations at different habitats. Populations at slope and seamount habitat in close proximity on the Hikurangi Margin were shown to host genetically different populations. There are some protected areas in the study area that have been specifically closed to trawling in order to protect the communities on seamounts, in part because of the then perceived isolated nature of seamount fauna [27]. The current BPAs, because of the large size design criterion [28], protect multiple habitats including seamounts and hydrothermal vents that are perceived to represent vulnerable marine ecosystems [73,74]. As such, any large protected area should afford some protection to any genetically distinct populations found at different habitats within a region.

The results of our within region comparison suggest that the location of BPAs on the Chatham Rise may require revision. The central BPA on the Chatham Rise is located in the middle of the crest of the rise at depths of 300-450 m and the BPA at the eastern end of the rise extends over a depth range of 300-900 m. We do not have any population data from these specific locations but we have shown the potential for genetic variation across the Rise. Given this finding and our understanding about differences in benthic communities on the north and south flank of the Rise (e.g., [67]), and the likelihood that populations are genetically structured by depth (e.g., [60,36]), the two BPAs arranged along the axis of the Rise at shallow depths may not be sufficient to protect the genetic variation of populations on the Chatham Rise. The Chatham Rise is one of the largest geomorphic features of the New Zealand EEZ with a complex and productive ecosystem [75], yet large areas of its seafloor are subjected to disturbance from bottom trawling, and in the future disturbance from mining for phosphorite nodules is likely. Our results suggest that further protected areas, or a repositioning of the current BPAs, could be considered to afford greater protection to the benthic biodiversity associated with the Chatham Rise through genetic connectivity.

## References

- Ramirez-Llodra E, Tyler PA, Baker MC, Bergstad OA, Clark MR, et al. (2011) Man and the last great wilderness: human impact on the deep sea. PLoS One 6(8): e22588.
- Van Dover CL, Smith CR, Ardon J, Dunn D, Gjerde K, et al. (2012) Designating networks or chemosynthetic ecosystem reserves in the deep sea. Mar Policy 36: 378–381.
- Barange M, Field JG, Steffen W (2010) Introduction: Oceans in the earth system. In: Barange E, Field JG, Harris RP, Hofmann EE, Perry RI, et al., editors. Marine Ecosystems and Global Change. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1–10.
- Miles EL (2009) On the increasing vulnerability of the world ocean to multiple stresses. Annu Rev Environ Resour 34: 17–41.
- New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy, February 2000, ISBN O-478-21919-9, Published by The Department of Conservation.
- Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, United Kingdom (2011) Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services. Report PB13583. 48 p.
- Gaines SD, Lester SE, Grorud-Colvert K, Costello C, Pollnac R (2010) Evolving science of marine reserves: New developments and emerging research frontiers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(43): 18251–18255.

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

### **Future Directions**

Our assessment of the genetic connectivity of two abundant benthic invertebrates found throughout a range of deep-sea habitats in the New Zealand EEZ represents a step towards understanding the spatial structure of benthic communities in the New Zealand region, and informing the future design of deep-water MPAs in the region. However, the study has raised a number of questions about the populations of H. longibranchiata. For example, what is the true geographic extent of populations found in the three regions-Challenger Plateau, Hikurangi Margin, and Chatham Rise? Are the Hikurangi Margin haplotypes found along the margin to the south or north? Similarly, are quill worm populations on the central north part of the Chatham Rise unique to these sites or will they resemble populations at the northeastern sites? What about populations at other sites to the west of New Zealand? Is the population of quill worms at the Challenger Plateau site different from the sites at the other study regions simply because it is on the western side of New Zealand or is the plateau in some way isolated? Such questions apply to other invertebrate species with potentially limited dispersal capabilities. Future genetic studies of population connectivity should include a greater range of study species in order to generate information useful for the design of protected areas in the deep sea.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the captain, crew, and scientific teams of the R/V Tangaroa for the following cruises: TAN0705, TAN0707, TAN0905, TAN1004. Particular thanks are extended to Dr. Kareen Schnabel of the NIWA Invertebrate Collection (NIC) for her ongoing advice and assistance, and to other NIC staff for facilitating access to the collection. We also acknowledge Dr. Phil Ross from the University of Waikato for assistance in data analysis and Arne Pallentin of NIWA for creating maps in GIS. We would like to recognize the critical importance of the Fulbright Fellowship program's goal of international exchange to this work. We also thank the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Joint Program Committee for Biological Oceanography for allowing EK Bors to pursue this research in New Zealand.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: EKB AAR TMS MRC. Performed the experiments: EKB. Analyzed the data: EKB AAR TMS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: EWM AAR TMS. Wrote the paper: EKB AAR TMS.

- Gaines SD, White C, Carr MH, Palumbi SR (2010) Designing marine reserve networks for both conservation and fisheries management. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(43): 18286–18293.
- Shank TM (2010) Seamounts: deep-ocean laboratories of faunal connectivity, evolution, and endemism. Oceanography 23(1): 108–122.
- Miller KJ, Ayre DJ (2008) Protection of genetic diversity and maintenance of connectivity among reef corals within marine protected areas. Conserv Biol 22(5): 1245–1254.
- Palumbi SR (2003) Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine reserves. Ecol Appl 13(1): S146–S158.
- Hedgecock D, Barber PH, Edmands S (2007) Genetic approaches to measuring connectivity. Oceanography 20(3): 70–79.
- Ramillien G, Wright I (2000) Predicted seafloor topography of the New Zealand region: A nonlinear least squares inversion of satellite altimetry data RID B-9643-2008. J Geophys Res 105(B7): 16577–16590.
- Thompson RM (1991) Gazetteer of seafloor features in the New Zealand region. New Zealand Oceanographic Institute Miscellaneous Publication 104. 64 p.
- Gordon DP, Beaumont J, MacDiarmid A, Robertson DA, Ahyong ST (2010) Marine biodiversity of Aotearoa New Zealand. PLoS One 5(8): e10905.

- 16. Gibbs MT (2008) The historical development of fisheries in New Zealand with respect to sustainable development principles. Electronic J Sust Devel 1(2): 23-34.
- 17. Crown Minerals (2010) New Zealand petroleum basins. Ministry of Economic Development, Wellington, New Zealand. 110 p. Available: http://www.nzpam. g ovt. n z / c m s / p df-library / p e trole u m - p u blic ation s - 1 / 2010%20NZ%20Petroleum%20Basin%20Report-WEB.pdf Accessed 2012 May 1.
- 18. Glasby G, Wright I (1990) Marine mineral potential in New Zealand exclusive economic zone rid B-9643-2008. Marine Mining 9(3): 403-427.
- 19. New Zealand Ministry of Fisheries (2010) Report from the Fisheries Assessment Plenary, May 2010: stock assessments and yield estimates. 1158 p. Available: http://www.fish.govt.nz/Accessed 2012 May 1.
- 20. Baird SJ, Wood BA, Bagley NW (2011) Nature and extent of commercial fishing effort on or near the seafloor within the New Zealand 200 n. mile exclusive economic zone, 1989-90 to 2004-05. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report 73. 143 p.
- 21. Clark MR, Rowden AA (2009) Effect of deep-water trawling on the macroinvertebrate assemblages of seamounts on the Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Deep Sea Res Part 1 Oceanogr Res Pap 56(9): 1540-1554.
- 22. Probert P (1999) Seamounts, sanctuaries and sustainability: Moving towards deep-sea conservation. Aquat Conserv 9(6): 601–605.
- 23. Clark MR, Rowden AA, Schlacher T, Williams A, Consalvey M, et al. (2010) The ecology of seamounts: structure, function, and human impacts. Ann Rev Mar Sci 2: 253-278.
- 24. Probert PK, McKnight DG, Grove SL (1997) Benthic invertebrate bycatch from a deep-water trawl fishery, Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Aquat Conserv 7: 27-40.
- 25. Cryer M, Hartill B, O'Shea S (2002) Modification of marine benthos by trawling: Toward a generalization for the deep ocean? Ecol Appl 12(6): 1824-1839
- 26. New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals, Permit database. Available: http://www. nzpam.govt.nz/cms Accessed 2012 May 1.
- 27. Brodie S, Clark M (2003) The New Zealand Seamount Management Strategy steps towards conserving offshore marine habitat. In: Beumer JP, Grant A, Smith DC, editors. Aquatic Protected Areas: what works best and how do we know? Proceedings of the World Congress on Aquatic Protected Areas, Cairns, Australia, August 2002. 664-673.
- Helson J, Leslie S, Clement G, Wells R, Wood R (2010) Private rights, public 28 benefits: Industry-driven seabed protection. Mar Pol 34: 557-566.
- 29. Leathwick J, Moilanen A, Francis M, Elith J, Taylor P, et al. (2008) Novel methods for the design and evaluation of marine protected areas in offshore waters. Conserv Lett 1(2): 91-102.
- 30. Ross PM, Hogg ID, Pilditch CA, Lundquist CJ, Wilkins RJ (2012) Population genetic structure of the New Zealand estuarine clam Austrovenus stutchburyi (Bivalvia: Veneridae) reveals population subdivision and partial congruence with biogeographic boundaries. Estuaries Coast 35: 143-154.
- 31. Perrin C, Wing SR, Roy MS (2004) Effects of hydrographic barriers on population genetic structure of the sea star Coscinasterias muricata (Echinodermata, Asteroidea) in New Zealand fiords. Mol Ecol 13: 2183-2195.
- Apte S, Gardner J (2002) Population genetic subdivision in the New Zealand 32. greenshell mussel (Perna canaliculus) inferred from single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of mitochondrial DNA. Mol Ecol 11(9): 1617-1628.
- 33. Miller KJ (1997) Genetic structure of the black coral populations in New Zealand's fiords. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 161: 123-132.
- 34. Smith P, McVeagh S, Won Y, Vrijenhoek R. (2004) Genetic heterogeneity among New Zealand species of hydrothermal vent mussels (Mytilidae: Bathymodiolus). Mar Biol 144(3): 537-545.
- 35. Kojima S, Watanabe H, Tsuchida S, Fujikura K, Rowden AA, et al. (2006) Phylogenetic relationships of a tube worm (Lamellibrachia juni) from three hydrothermal vent fields in the south pacific. J Mar Biol Assoc U K 86(6): 1357-1361.
- 36. Miller KJ, Rowden AA, Williams A, Häuessermann V (2011) Out of their depth? Isolated deep populations of the cosmopolitan coral Desmophyllum dianthus may be highly vulnerable to environmental change RID A-7902-2011. PLoS One 6(5): e19004.
- 37. Smith P, McVeagh S, Mingoia J, France S (2004) Mitochondrial DNA sequence variation in deep-sea bamboo coral (keratoisidinae) species in the southwest and northwest pacific ocean. Mar Biol 144(2): 253-261.
- 38. Mackay KA, Wood BA, Calrk MR (2005) Chatham Rise Bathymetry. NIWA Miscellaneous Chart Series No. 82. National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, Wellington, New Zealand.
- 39. Heath RA (1985) A review of the physical oceanography of the seas around New Zealand-1982. N Z J Mar Freshwater Res 19(1): 79-124.
- 40. Hendrson JR (1885) Diagnoses of new species of Galatheidae collected during the "challenger" expedition. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, Series V 16: 407-421.
- 41. McIntosh WC (1885) Report on the Annelida Polychaeta collected by H.M.S. 'Challenger' during the years 1873-76. Report of the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger, 1873–1876, Zoology 12: 1–554. Read GB, Clark HES (1999) Ingestion of quill-worms by the astropectinid sea-
- star Proserpinaster neozelanicus (Mortensen). N Z J Zool 26: 49-54.

- 43. Baba K, Fujita Y, Wehrtmann IS, Scholtz G (2011) Chapter 5. Developmental biology of squat lobsters. In: Poore GCB, Ahyong ST, Taylor J, editors. The Biology of Squat Lobsters. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- 44. Paxton H (1986) Generic revision and relationships of the family Onuphidae (Annelida: Polychaeta). Records of the Australian Museum 38: 1-74.
- Carrasco F (1983) Description of adults and larvae of a new deep-water species of Hyalinoecia (Polychaeta, Onuphidae) from the Southeastern Pacific Ocean. J Nat Hist 17: 87-93.
- 46. Orensanz JM (1990) The eunicemorph polychaete annelids from Antarctic and Subantarctic Seas with Addenda to the Eunicemorpha of Argentina, Chile, New Zealand, Australia, and the Southern Indian Ocean, Antarct Res Ser 52: 1–18.
- 47. Nielsen JF (2005) The molecular phylogenetics of Antarctic sea spiders (Pycnogonida) BSc Honours thesis, University of Auckland.
- 48 Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Mar Biol Biotechnol 3(5): 294-299.
- 49. Zanol J, Halanych KM, Struck TH, Fauchald K (2010) Phylogeny of the bristle worm family Eunicidae (Eunicida, Annelida) and the phylogenetic utility of noncongruent 16S, COI and 18S in combined analyses. Mol Phylo Genet Evol 55(2): 660-676.
- 50. Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Buxton S, Cheung M, Cooper A, et al. (2011) Geneious v5.4, Available from http://www.geneious.com/
- 51. Machordom A, Macpherson E (2004) Rapid radiation and cryptic speciation in squat lobsters of the genus Munida (Crustacea, Decapoda) and related genera in the South West Pacific: molecular and morphological evidence. Mol Pylogenet Evol 33: 259-279.
- 52. Librado P and Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451-1452. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp187.
- 53. Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin ver. 3.0: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinform Online 1: 47 - 50.
- 54. Clement M, Posada D, Crandall K (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Mol Ecol 9(10): 1657-1660.
- Munasinghe D, Murphy N, Austin C (2003) Utility of mitochondrial DNA 55. sequences from four gene regions for systematic studies of Australian freshwater crayfish of the genus Cherax (Decapoda: Parastacidae). J Crust Biol 23(2): 402-417
- 56. Cowen RK, Sponaugle S (2009) Larval dispersal and marine population connectivity. Ann Rev Mar Sci 1: 443-466.
- 57. Chiswell SM, Booth JD (1999) Rock lobster Jasus edwardsü larval retention by the Wairarapa Eddy off New Zealand. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 183: 227-240.
- Barnes EJ (1985) Eastern Cook Strait region circulation inferred from satellite-58. derived, sea-surface, temperature data. N Z J Mar Freshwat Res 19(3): 405-411.
- Christin L, Pruett CL, Winker K (2008) The effects of sample size on population 59. genetic diversity estimates in song sparrows Melospiza melodia. J. Avian Biol. 39: 252 256.
- 60. Cho W, Shank TM (2010) Incongruent patterns of genetic connectivity among four ophiuroid species with differing coral host specificity on north Atlantic seamounts. Mar Ecol 31: 121-143.
- Vrijenhoek RC (2010) Genetic diversity and connectivity of deep-sea 61. hydrothermal vent metapopulations. Mol Ecol 19(20): 4391-4411.
- 62. Craddock C, Hoeh WR, Lutz RA, Vrijenhoek RC (1995) Extensive gene flow among mytilid (Bathymodiolus thermophilus) populations from hydrothermal vents of the eastern Pacific. Mar Biol 124(1): 137-146.
- 63. Plouviez S, Le Guen D, Lecompte O, Lallier FH, Jollivet D (2010) Determining gene flow and the influence of selection across the equatorial barrier of the east pacific rise in the tube-dwelling polychaete Alvinella pompejana. BMC Evol Bio 10: 220
- 64. Samadi S, Bottan L, Macpherson E, De Forges BR, Boisselier M (2006) Seamount endemism questioned by the geographic distribution and population genetic structure of marine invertebrates. Mar Biol 149(6): 1463-1475.
- 65. Lavelle JW, Mohn C (2010) Motion, commotion, and biophysical connections at deep ocean seamounts RID F-3468-2011. Oceanography 23(1): 90-103.
- 66. Berkenbusch K, Probert PK, Nodder SD (2011) Comparative biomass of sediment benthos across a depth transect, chatham rise, southwest pacific ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 425: 79-90.
- Nodder SD, Pilditch CA, Probert PK, Hall JA (2003) Variability in benthic 67. biomass and activity beneath the subtropical front, Chatham Rise, SW Pacific ocean. Deep Sea Res Part 1 Oceanogr Res Pap 50(8): 959-985.
- 68. McKnight DG, Probert PK (1997) Epibenthic communities on the Chatham Rise, New Zealand. N Z J Mar Freshwat Res 31(4): 505-513.
- 69. Probert PK, Mcknight DG (1993) Biomass of bathyal macrobenthos in the region of the subtropical convergence, Rhatham Rise, New Zealand. Deep Sea Res Part 1 Oceanogr Res Pap 40(5): 1003-1007.
- 70. Rex MA, Stuart CT, Etter RJ, McClain CR (2010) Biogeography of the deepsea gastropod Oocorys sulcata Fisher 1884. Journal of Conchology 40: 287-290.
- 71. Ministry of Fisheries and Department of Conservation (2008) Marine Protected Areas: Classification, Protection Standard and Implementation Guidelines. Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Fisheries and Department of Conservation. 54 p
- 72. Airame Ŝ, Dugan J, Lafferty K, Leslie H, McArdle D, et al. (2003) Applying ecological criteria to marine reserve design: A case study from the california channel islands RID B-3888-2009. Ecol Appl 13(1): S170-S184.

November 2012 | Volume 7 | Issue 11 | e49474 WWW.Manaraa.com

- FAO (2007) Report and documentation of the Expert Consultation on deep-sea fisheries in the High Seas. FAO Fisheries Report No 838. 203 p.
- 74. FAO (2008) Report of the FAO Workshop on vulnerable ecosystems and destructive fishing in deep-sea fisheries. FAO Fisheries Report No 829, 18 p.
- 75. Nodder SD, Bowden DA, Pallentin A, Mackay K (2012) Seafloor habitats and benthos of a continental ridge: Chatham Rise, New Zealand. In: Harris PT,

Baker EK, editors. Seafloor geomorphology as benthic habitat: GeoHab Atlas of seafloor geomorphic features and benthic habitats. Elsevier Insights. 763–776.

 Hayward BW, Scott GH, Crundwell MP, Kennett JP, Carter L, et al. (2008) The effect of submerged plateaux on Pleistocene gyral circulation and sea-surface temperatures in the Southwest Pacific. Glob Planet Change 63: 309–316.

16

© 2012 Bors et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ (the "License"), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Notwithstanding the ProQuest Terms and Conditions, you may use this content in accordance with the terms of the License.

